

Example 8: Spirals in Nature

General guidance

[How to use this teacher support material](#)
[Teacher responsibilities](#)
[Skills and strategies required by students](#)
[Developing the exploration](#)
[Use of technology](#)
[Planning](#)
[Authenticity](#)
[Assessment criteria](#)
[Record keeping](#)

Assessed student work

[Overview](#)
[Examples of explorations](#)
[Example 1](#)
[Example 2](#)
[Example 3](#)
[Example 4](#)
[Example 5](#)
[Example 6](#)
[Example 7](#)
[Example 8](#)
[Example 9](#)
[Example 10](#)
[Example 11](#)
[Example 12](#)
[Example 13](#)
[Example 14](#)
[Example 15](#)
[Example 16](#)
[Example 17](#)
[Example 18](#)
[Example 19](#)
[Example 20](#)
[Example 21](#)
[Frequently asked questions](#)

Assessment

Criterion	A	B	C	D	E (SL)	E (HL)	Total (SL)	Total (HL)
Achievement level awarded	3	3	3	2	5	4	16	15
Maximum possible achievement level	4	3	4	3	6	6	20	20



[Student work \(PDF\)](#)



[Annotated student work \(PDF\)](#)



[Comments](#)

Comments

Criterion A: Communication

A3—Too much detail in the tables detracts from conciseness and hinders easy reading. Appendices should have been used for some of this information.

Criterion B: Mathematical presentation

B3—This is appropriate throughout.

Criterion C: Personal engagement

C3—There is some evidence of this, for example, applying unfamiliar maths, creating his own example, but not the abundant evidence needed for level 4.

Criterion D: Reflection

D2—There is meaningful, but not critical, reflection.

SL Criterion E: Use of mathematics

E5—The mathematics used is beyond the syllabus, and good understanding is demonstrated.

HL Criterion E: Use of mathematics

E4—The work is sophisticated enough for a level 4.



